

TOR Reference No.: 2017/20	Author(s): Simon Bingham			
Version: 2	Date: 17 November 2016			
TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR WORK UNDER THE AUSPICES OF IMPEL				

1. Work type and title:

Managing big data mini-conference

1.1 Identify which Expert Team this needs to go to for initial consideration				
Industry Waste and TFS Water and land Nature protection Cross-cutting – tools and approaches -				
1.2 Type of work you need funding for				
Exchange visits Peer reviews (e.g. IRI) Conference Development of tools/guidance Comparison studies Assessing legislation (checklist) Other (please describe):				
1.3 Full name of work (enough to fully describ	be what the work area is)			
A mini conference to discuss how regulatory agencies should be managing their data. What is big data in a regulatory context? What does good practice look like? How can we maximise the benefits of the data we hold?				
1.4 Abbreviated name of work or project				
Big Data mini-conference				



2. Outline business case (why this piece of work?)

2.1 Name the legislative driver(s) where they exist (name the Directive, Re	egulation, etc.)
All regulatory work	
2.2 Link to IMPEL MASP priority work areas	
Assist members to implement new legislation	V
2. Build capacity in member organisations through the IMPEL Review Initiatives	
3. Work on 'problem areas' of implementation identified by IMPEL and the	
European Commission	~
2.3 Why is this work needed? (Background, motivations, aims, etc.)	
It has been identified in various IRI's that many organisations struggle with the dat regulated sites and the environment. This conference will attempt to share best p potential solutions that can be shared to maximise the value of the data we hold.	•
2.4 Desired outcome of the work (what do you want to achieve? What will done differently as a result of this project?)	ll be better /
By understanding what can be done regulators will be able to create/refine/development	op their systems
to maximize value of the data they hold.	
2.5 Does this project link to any previous or current IMPEL projects? (State and how they are related)	e which projects
IRI's and DTRT execution.	
3. Structure of the proposed activity	
3.1 Describe the activities of the proposal (what are you going to do and h	now?)
A Mini conference back-to-back with the X-cutting Expert Team.	
3.2 Describe the products of the proposal (what are you going to produce output / outcome?)	in terms of
Greater Understanding – conference report	
3.3 Describe the milestones of this proposal (how will you know if you are complete the work on time?)	on track to
Mini Conference	

3.4 Risks (what are the potential risks for this project and what actions will be put in place

to mitigate these?)
Low risk project



4. Organisation of the work

4.1 Lead (who will lead the work: name, organisation and country) – this must be confirmed prior to submission of the TOR to the General Assembly)
Tony Liebregts, NL
4.2 Project team (who will take part: name, organisation and country)
Expert Team
4.3 Other IMPEL participants (name, organisation and country)
Various at presentation of findings
4.4. Other non-IMPEL participants (name, organisation and country)
Potentially various

5. High-level budget projection of the proposal. In case this is a multi-year project, identify future requirements as much as possible

	Year 1 (exact)	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
How much money do you	<mark>15.255</mark>			
require from IMPEL?				
How much money is to be co-	0			
financed				
Total budget	15.255			

6. Detailed event costs of the work for year 1

	Travel € (max €360 per return journey)	Hotel € (max €90 per night)	Catering € (max €25 per day)	Total costs €
Event 1	<mark>9.720</mark>	<mark>4.860</mark>	<mark>675 (27*25)</mark>	15.255
Mini Conference	<mark>(27*360)</mark>	<mark>(27*2*90)</mark>		
Spring 2017				
TBC				
20				
2 nights accommodation				
Total costs for all events	9.720	<mark>4.860</mark>	<mark>675</mark>	15.255



7. Detailed other costs of the work for year 1

7.1 Are you using a consultant?	□Yes	▼ No
7.2 What are the total costs for the consultant?		
7.3 Who is paying for the consultant?		
7.4. What will the consultant do?		
7.5 Are there any additional costs?	☐ Yes Namely:	✓ No
7.6 What are the additional costs for?		
7.7 Who is paying for the additional costs?		
7.8. Are you seeking other funding sources?	☐ Yes Namely:	™ No
7.9 Do you need budget for communications around the project? If so, describe what type of activities and the related costs	☐ Yes Namely:	▼ No



8. Communication and follow-up (checklist)

	What		By when		
8.1 Indicate which communication materials will be developed throughout the project and when (all to be sent to the communications officer at the IMPEL secretariat)	TOR* Interim report* Project report* Progress report(s)* Press releases News items for the website** News items for the e-newsletter Project abstract** IMPEL at a Glance * Other, (give details):		01/01/17 - 31/10/17 31/10/17 March 2017 & 31/10/17 31/10/17 31/10/17		
8.2 Milestones / Scheduled meetings (for the website diary)	Mini Conference				
8.3 Images for the IMPEL image bank	☐ Yes				
8.4 Indicate which materials will be translated and into which languages	Project abstract (dependent on project team members)				
8.5 Indicate if web-based tools will be developed and if hosting by IMPEL is required	No				
8.6 Identify which groups/institutions will be targeted and how					
8.7 Identify parallel developments / events by other organisations, where the project can be promoted					

⁾ Templates are available and should be used. *) Obligatory



9.	Re	m	a	r	k	ς

Is there anything else you would like to add to the Terms of Reference that has not been covered above?

In case of doubts or questions please contact the IMPEL Secretariat.

Draft and final versions need to be sent to the <u>IMPEL Secretariat</u> in word format, not in PDF.

Thank you.